arXiv:gr-qc/9511051v1 17 Nov 1995

Preprint IMAFF 94/7

NON-LINEAR AFFINE EMBEDDING OF THE DIRAC FIELD FROM THE MULTIPLICITY-FREE SL(4,R) UNIRREPS

by

A. López–Pinto, A. Tiemblo and R. Tresguerres

IMAFF, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientficas,
Serrano 123, Madrid 28006, Spain

ABSTRACT

The correspondence between the linear multipilcity-free unirreps of SL(4, R) studied by Ne'eman and Šijački and the non-linear realizations of the affine group is worked out. The results obtained clarify the inclusion of spinorial fields in a non-linear affine gauge theory of gravitation.

PACS numbers: 0420c, 0420

1. **Introduction**

The metric affine gauge theories (MAGTs) started by Hehl *et al* (for a valuable review see [1]) provide a powerful mathematical framework for the study of gravitation. They enable to deal with a geometrical theory endowed with the most general connection and based on the most general transformation group in the tangent space, including all the possible gauge degrees of freedom. Furthermore, it has been suggested that the adoption of the affine group as the dynamical group in a gauge theory of gravitation might solve certain renormalizability and unitarity problems in quantum gravity[1].

Nevertheless, in [2] and [3] we showed the close relationship between the standard MAGTs and the non-linear affine gauge theories of gravitation (NLAGTs). In the context of a NLAGT, the matter fields appear as a sector of the representation space of the non-linear realization. They vary as a linear representation of the Lorentz

group with coefficients depending on certain fields (coset fields) and on the element of the affine group involved (non-linearity, see below).

There is a strong parallelism between the objects in a linear gauge theory and those in the non-linear version. This link was studied by us for the case of the affine group pointing out the relationship between most of the objects, as connections and curvatures is easily established. However, when we deal with the matter fields, the relationship of the linear and non-linear objects presents serious difficulties. We can always start with a given linear field and study the non-linear one that it induces. The reverse problem is more complicated. It might be expected that the reducible non-linear field is obtained from a linear representation of the gauge group. We then need a linear field which, when restricted to the action of the subgroup, breaks into the desired representation of the subgroup plus other pieces. Nevertheless, this is a hard problem of group representation theory. This difficulties can be overpassed, as we have done in our forementioned papers, taking into account the fact that the non-linear realization is well defined by itself, and we can work with it ignoring any correspondence with a linear representation. However, one can feel tempted to tighten the relation between the linear and non-linear approaches and try to obtain the linear representation which induces a given non-linear one. In this context, if we want to consider an electron in a gauge version of gravity like the NLAGT, we should first know a linear representation of the affine group which contains the Dirac field in its "Lorentz breaking".

In this work, the explicit inclusion of fermionic matter in the NLAGT, starting from a linear infinite-dimensional representation of the affine group, is worked out. In section 2 the machinery of the non-linear[5],[6],[7] realizations is revised with special care to its application to the NLAGT. The multipilcity-free unirreps of SL(4,R)[11],[12],[13],[14] and their physical constraints are presented in section 3. In section 4 use is made of the results of sections 2 and 3 to study the role of the Dirac field in the NLAGT. Finally the most outstanding conclusions are drawn.

2. **Nonlinear realization of the affine group**

The relevance of the nonlinear realization techniques in different branches of theoretical physics is widely known, ranging from spontaneous symmetry breaking and nonlinear Lagrangians to gauge versions of space-time groups (see, for instance, [4] and [5] and references therein). The classification of all the nonlinear realizations of a group which become linear under the action of a given subgroup H of G was first established by Coleman, Wess and Zumino[6]. They essentially showed that, under several natural hypothesis, it is always possible to express any nonlinear realization in what they called the "standard form", which is nothing but a particular parametrization of the coset representation. However, we shall follow an approach due to Salam and

Strathdee[7] which becomes specially transparent when dealing with definite physical problems. Here we give a short review of the scheme.

Let us suppose we know the action of certain irreducible linear representations of a group G

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi' = D(g)\,\varphi \quad , \qquad g \in G \tag{2.1}$$

as well as their breaking into linear irreducible representations of a subgroup H. We shall make the assumption that the space basis is chosen in such a way that the matrices $D(h)$, where $h \epsilon H$, break into diagonal boxes.

We define the reduction matrix $(L_\sigma)_{\alpha\beta}$ to be the one with elements written in terms of several field variables with the following restrictions:

a) L_σ belongs to the group G, so that for every representation $g \to D(g)$, the functional $D(L_\sigma)$ is well defined. Thus, the number of fields σ_a needed to parametrize L_σ is smaller or equals the dimension of G.

b) Under the group operations, the fields which parametrize the reducing matrix transform in such a way that

$$L_\sigma \longrightarrow L_{\sigma'} = g\, L_\sigma\, h^{-1}(\sigma, g) \quad , g \in G, \qquad h(\sigma, g) \in H, \tag{2.2}$$

holds.

c) When we restrict the operations to the subgroup H, the reduction matrix varies as usual, namely

$$L_{\sigma'} = h\, L_\sigma\, h^{-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.2) we obtain that the functional $D(L_\sigma)$ transforms as

$$D(L_\sigma) \longrightarrow D(L_{\sigma'}) = D(g\, L_\sigma\, h^{-1}(\sigma, g)) = D(g)\, D(L_\sigma)\, D(h^{-1}(\sigma, g)). \tag{2.4}$$

This property enables us to project the linear realizations (2.1) into nonlinear ones. If we define

$$\psi := D({L_\sigma}^{-1})\,\varphi, \tag{2.5}$$

it can be easily seen, using the expressions (2.1) and (2.4), that the transformation law for the new fields ψ is given by

$$\psi' = D(h)\,\psi. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence, ψ transforms under G as a reducible representation of H with nonlinear parameters $h(\sigma, g)$. In fact, this formula plays a fundamental role in the subsequent

developments. It makes possible to establish a one to one relationship between the linear and the non-linear objects. As it was shown in [2] and [3], (2.5) is not essential for introducing ordinary matter in a non-linear gauge theory of the affine group. Nevertheless, the deep correspondence between the linear and the non-linear theories is better understood if we find the linear partner for each non-linear object . The main purpose of this paper will be to state the link between the non-linear Dirac field and its linear partner.

It is important to realize that the space where the nonlinear realization takes place is spanned not only by the fields ψ but by the σ's as well, so that the group action is given by both (2.2) and (2.6). The "standard form" of [6] corresponds to

$$L_\sigma := \ e^{\sigma A}, \tag{2.7}$$

where A are those generators of G which are not contained in the algebra of H. In this scheme the fields σ are usually called coset parameters.

Our purpose is to use the nonlinear realizations as the mathematical machinery for a physical gauge theory. So, we have to consider the local realization of the group G, i.e. the group action when $g = g(x) \in$G where x are the space-time labels.

It is easily seen from (2.2) and (2.6) that the derivative of ψ does not transform covariantly. To this end, we need to define a covariant derivative with the desired transformation properties, introducing the algebra-valued one-form

$$\Omega = \ \Omega_i \, s_i, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{dimG}$ is a basis of the algebra of G. The transformation of Ω under G is given by

$$\Omega \longrightarrow g \, \Omega \, g^{-1} + \ g \, d \, g^{-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

In the gauge version of a linearly realized group G, Ω is taken as the physical gauge connection, but this is not the case now. We define a modified gauge field

$$\Gamma = \ {L_\sigma}^{-1} \, (\Omega + \ d) \, L_\sigma. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.2) and (2.9) it is easy to obtain the transformation of Γ

$$\Gamma \longrightarrow h \, \Gamma \, h^{-1} + \ h \, d \, h^{-1}, \tag{2.11}$$

with h given by (2.2). A very important feature of (2.11) is that the homogeneous piece of the transformation projects only over the algebra of H, so that the components of Γ associated to the generators of G laying outside the algebra of H, transform

"tensorially", i.e. their variation is homogeneous, the second term in the r.h.s. of (2.11) being absent.

We now define a covariant differential $\mathbf{D}$ of the nonlinear field ψ with the desired transformation properties as

$$\mathbf{D}\,\psi := (\,d + i\,\Gamma_i\,S_i)\,\psi, \tag{2.12}$$

where S_i are the representatives of the s_i generators in the D representation.

Now, we will apply the above given non-linear tools to the affine group non-linearly realized, with the Lorentz one playing the role of the classification subgroup H. The results given below are a short summary of yhe NLGAT approach.

The affine group is the semidirect product of the translations and the general linear transformations in four dimensions. We can choose as a basis of the affine algebra the six Lorentz generators $L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$, ten pseudo-symmetric linear generators $S^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ and the four translational generators P_{α}. They fulfill the following conmutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_{\alpha\beta}\,,L_{\mu\nu}] &= -i\,\left(o_{\alpha[\mu}L_{\nu]\beta} - o_{\beta[\mu}L_{\nu]\alpha}\right)\,,\\
[L_{\alpha\beta}\,,S_{\mu\nu}] &= \;\;i\,\left(o_{\alpha(\mu}S_{\nu)\beta} - o_{\beta(\mu}S_{\nu)\alpha}\right)\,,\\
[S_{\alpha\beta}\,,S_{\mu\nu}] &= \;\;i\,\left(o_{\alpha(\mu}L_{\nu)\beta} + o_{\beta(\mu}L_{\nu)\alpha}\right)\,,\\
[L_{\alpha\beta}\,,P_{\mu}] &= \;\;i\,o_{\mu[\alpha}P_{\beta]}\,,\\
[S_{\alpha\beta}\,,P_{\mu}] &= \;\;i\,o_{\mu(\alpha}P_{\beta)}\,,\\
[P_{\alpha}\,,P_{\beta}] &= \;\;0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $o_{\alpha\mu}$ is the flat Minkowski metric.

In the scheme developed in [2] and [3], the reduction matrix is

$$L_{(\xi,\delta)} := e^{-i\,\xi^{\alpha}P_{\alpha}}e^{i\,\delta^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}}\,, \tag{2.14}$$

and the analogous of transformation (2.2) is now

$$g\,L_{(\xi,\delta)} = L_{(\xi',\delta')}\,h\,(g,\,L_{(\xi,\delta)}), \tag{2.15}$$

where $g = e^{i\,\epsilon^{\alpha}P_{\alpha}}e^{i\,\alpha^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}}e^{i\,\beta^{\mu\nu}L_{\mu\nu}}$ and $h := e^{i\,u^{\mu\nu}L_{\mu\nu}}$ The nonlinear connection (2.10) adopts now the form

$$\Gamma := L^{-1}_{(\xi,\delta)}\,(d\,+\Omega\,)\,L_{(\xi,\delta)} = -i\,\vartheta^{\alpha}P_{\alpha} - i\,\Gamma_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\,(S^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta})\,, \tag{2.16}$$

where Ω is a one-form affine algebra-valued with transformation given by (2.9).

The most outstanding features of this approach are:

i) The connection coefficients can readily be geometrically identified. The object Γ_α^β is the geometrical connection while ϑ^α represent the tetrads. The essential advantage of using a nonlinear realization is that, due to expression (2.11), the transformation of the coframe is the desired one, i.e. it lacks the inhomogeneous part and the adjoint piece reproduces the transformation of four Lorentz vectors. Other approaches proposed to explain the identification of the coframe and the translational connection recquire the introduction of mathematical objects which are foreign to the gauge scheme[1],[8].

ii) There is a correspondence between the mathematical objects of a standard metric-affine gauge theory[1] and those in the non-linear gauge version. In addition, the metric tensor, which is usually introduced by hand in the MAGT, becomes an intrisic object of the non-linear approach.

iii) We have shown that ordinary matter, transforming as representations of the Lorentz group, naturally occurs in the non-linear gauge theories. The embedding of these physical representations in the linear affine ones is given by (2.5). In this way we can obtain (reducible) representations of the Lorentz group starting with the well known tensorial representations of the affine one and applying the non-linear procedure. A problem arises when we want to understand how is a particular spinorial representation of the Lorentz group imbedded in the linear affine representations. In particular we will deal with a Dirac spinor. It is natural to expect a double representation of the Lorentz group to be contained in a double-valued representation of the affine group. At this purpose the next logical step is to study the double-valued representations of the affine group.

3. **Physical spinor representations of $\overline{SL}$(4,R)**

The existence of spinorial representations for certain linear groups, such as GL(n, R) or SL(n, R), is well known by mathematicians. For instance in 1947, Bargmann[9] studied the double valued representations of SL(2, R). However, for a long time it was believed by the relativists that there are no spinor fields transforming linearly under GL(n, R) or SL (n, R). This wrong belief relied on the assumption that those groups posess no universal coverings. Nevertheless, the existence of such coverings has been proved by Ne'eman[10]. GL(n, R) and SL(n, R) have double valued representations, though they come as infinite matrices.

The systematics of the multipilcity-free unitary irreducible representations of SL(4,R) were studied by Ne'eman and Šijački[11],[12]. They applied their results to the description of the phenomenology of hadron excitations[14]. The reader interested

in the details of the derivations reviewed in this section is referred to [1], [11], [12], [13] and [14].

The affine group GA(4,R) is the semidirect product of the group of four-dimensional translations and the linear group GL(4,R). The latter, i.e. the group of invertible 4×4 matrices, contains SL(4,R) as a subgroup , which contains all the unimodular 4×4 matrices , and is a semisimple, non-compact, non-simply-connected group. Its maximal compact subgroup is SO(4,R). The feature of one being the maximal compact subgroup is mantained by their respective covering groups, $\overline{SO}(4,R)$ and $\overline{SL}(4,R)$. The former is isomorphic to SU(2)×SU(2) and it will come apparent the relevance of this fact when finding the physical spinor representations of $\overline{SL}(4,\ R)$. As a first question we can ask how is the Lorentz algebra contained in $\overline{SL}(4,R)$. The traditional answer is to identify the pseudo-antisymmetric generators of $\overline{SL}(4,R)$ with the Lorentz ones. Nevertheless, this approach reveals to be not fully satisfactory.

We now summarize the process of obtention, followed by Ne'eman and Šijački, of all the multipilcity-free unirreps of $\overline{SL}(4,\ R)$ (unitary, irreducible representations which, when restricted to the action of $\overline{SO}(4,R)$, contain the representation of SU(2)×SU(2) $\simeq \overline{SO}(4,R)$ labelled by (j_1,j_2) not more than once). This program is strongly based on the work of Harish-Chandra[15], and is performed in three steps:

i) The action of the noncompact generators over the Hilbert spaces related to the maximal compact subgroup and its quotient groups is firstly determined.

ii) Next, the sublattices of the maximal subgroup labels which are closed under the action of the noncompact generators are obtained.

iii) Finally, the constraints on the representation labels are determined by imposing a condition of hermiticity on the generators.

As a result of this procedure, four series of unirreps are obtained. These unirreps are parametrized by a complex number $e = e_1 + ie_2$ and are defined over Hilbert spaces with values over the quotient groups K' of SU(2)×SU(2). The scalar product is defined by a kernel κ, and the space representation L of $\overline{SL}(4,R)$ is represented by the smallest values (min j_1,min j_2) found between the SU(2)×SU(2) "points" of the sublattice L. The rest of the points in the sublattice are determined through the application of the noncompact generators. Therefore, the unirrep Hilbert spaces are denoted by H($K',\kappa,\ L$).

Besides the trivial representation, the four series of multiplicity-free unirreps of $\overline{SL}(4,R)$ are

—*Principal series*: $D^{pr}(0,0;e_2)$ and $D^{pr}(1,0;e_2)$, $e_1 = 0, e_2 \in R$, defined in the Hilbert spaces $H(K_1',\kappa,\ L)$ with $K_1' = (SU(2)/U(1)) \times (SU(2)/U(1))$, $\kappa(j_1,j_2)$=1 for all j_1 and j_2. The irreducible lattices are respectively L(0,0) and L(1,0).

—*Supplementary series*: $D^{supp}\,(0,0;e_1)$, $0 < |e_1| < 1, e_2 = 0$, defined in the Hilbert spaces $H(K_1', \kappa, L)$ with $K_1' = (SU(2)/U(1)) \times (SU(2)/U(1))$, $\kappa(j_1, j_2)$ is not trivial, being L(0,0) the irreducible lattice.

—*Discrete series*: $D^{dis}\,(1 - e_1, 0)$ and $D^{dis}\,(0, 1 - e_1)$, $e_1 - j_o, j_o = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, e_2 = 0$, They are defined in the Hilbert spaces $H(K_1', \kappa, L)$ with $K_1' = (SU(2)/U(1)) \times (SU(2)/U(1))$. In this case $\kappa(j_1, j_2)$ is not trivial and the irreducible lattices are respectively $L(j_o, 0; j_1 - j_2 \geq j_o)$ and $L(0, j_o, 0; j_2 - j_1 \geq j_o)$.

—*Ladder series*: $D^{ladd}\,(0, e_2)$ and $D^{ladd}\,(\frac{1}{2}, e_2)$, $e_1 = 0$, $e_2 \in R$, defined in the Hilbert spaces $H(K_2', \kappa, L)$ with $K_2' = (SU(2) \times SU(2))/SU(2)$, $\kappa(j_1, j_2) = \kappa(j, j)$=1 for all j; the irreducible lattices are respectively L(0) and L($\frac{1}{2}$). Now, due to the form of K_2', the lattices are one-dimensional.

Let us now discuss the physical interpretation of the multipilcity-free unirreps of $\overline{SL}(4, R)$.

Starting from a basis of the $\overline{SL}(4, R)$ algebra given by six pseudo-antisymmetrical generators M_{ab} and the nine pseudo-symmetrical ones T_{ab}, a,b=0,1,2,3 (remember that one degree of freedom has been removed through the unimodularity condition), one can define a new basis with generators

$$\begin{aligned} &J_i := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\, M_{jk} \quad , \quad N_i := T_{0i} \quad , \quad K_i := M_{0i} \\ &T_{ij} \quad , \quad T_{00} \qquad\qquad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Only J_i and N_i are compact. Among the most significative subgroups we can identify $\overline{SO}(4, R)$, generated by J_i and N_i, and $\overline{SO}(3, 1) \simeq SL(2, C)$, by J_i and K_i.

Using now the unirrep series of $\overline{SL}(4, R)$ given above, we observe, that the Lorentz group is realized by the infinite-dimensional representations of Gel'fand and Naimark[16], due to the fact that the boost generators K_i are noncompact. These representations are characterized by the undesired feature of exciting a spinorial degree of freedom, i.e. if we act with K_i over a state of spin j we obtain new states with spin labels j-1 or j+1. However we know that the actual effect of applying a boost to a particle is just modifying its momentum. If the Gel'fand-Naimark representations were really to occur in Nature, strange situations should be observable: for instance two inertial observers with relative velocity different from zero would predict different values for the spin of the proton. Such unphysical consequences can be circumvected with the help of the "deunitarizing automorphism". This automorphism of the $\overline{SL}(4,\, R)$ algebra, let us call it A, acts as

$$\begin{aligned} A:\; J_i \rightarrow &\overline{J}_i = J_i \quad , \quad J_i \rightarrow \overline{K}_i = i\, N_i \quad , \quad T_{00} \rightarrow \overline{T}_{00} = T_{00} \\ N_i \rightarrow &\overline{N}_i = i\, K_i \quad , \quad T_{ij} \rightarrow \overline{T}_{ij} = T_{ij} \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The same commutation relations hold for both the barred and the unbarred generators, so that the vector spaces over which the unirreps of $\overline{SL}(4,\ R)$ are defined are also available for the realizations of $\overline{SL}(4,\ R)_A$. The main difference is that, for the last group, some of the matrices of the representation are not unitary, due to the i factor in the new generators. As it has been shown by Šijački and Ne'eman[11] this fact does not alter the hermiticity of the Lagrangian constructed with the new fields, and we can consider $\overline{J}_i$ and $\overline{K}_i$ as the physical angular momentum and boost generators respectively. Under this identification the (finite dimensional) representations of the Lorentz group are labelled by the SU(2)×SU(2) Cassimir labels (j_1, j_2).

Once stated the multipilcity-free unirreps of $\overline{SL}(4, R)$ and the physical interpretation of its subgroups, we can consider the question of which of them account for the fermionic content. With the identification given above of $\overline{SO}(4, R)_A$ as the physical Lorentz subgroup generated by $\overline{J}_i$ and $\overline{K}_i$, we impose the following conditions:

i) The representation is spinorial, that is, single-valued for $\overline{SL}(4,\ R)_A$ but double-valued for $SL(4, R)_A$.

ii) Certain "reality conditions" should hold. The states of the representation must be solutions of an equation compatible with the equivalence principle[13].

It is found[13] that the only possible solution compatible with these constraints leads to a physical field belonging to the space of the (reducible) representation

$$\pi = D^{disc}(\frac{1}{2}, 0)_A \oplus D^{disc}(0, \frac{1}{2})_A \tag{3.3}$$

which is a kind of *extended* Dirac field.

4. **The non-linear Dirac-affine representation**

Starting from the above given results, we come back to the problem of how to establish the correspondence between the non-linear fermionic fields and the linear representation to which it is related, i.e. we want to apply the results obtained in the last section to the NLAGT case. To this purpose we must know the explicit form of all the objects involved in (2.5).

If we consider the affine non-linear representation π and we want to study its physical content, we need to know how does it break under the physical Lorentz subgroup $\overline{SO}(4, R)_A$. Taking into account the features of the discrete series given in the last section, it is easily found that the "Lorentz content" of π is given by

$$\{(j_1, j_2)\} = \{(\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{3}{2}, 1), (\frac{5}{2}, 2), ...\} \oplus \{(0, \frac{1}{2}), (1, \frac{3}{2}), (2, \frac{5}{2}), ...\}. \tag{4.1}$$

The effect of the non-compact generators is to mix the different spin subspaces. For instance, if we start with a pure state which only projects over the $(\frac{1}{2},0)\oplus(0,\frac{1}{2})$ subspace, once we act on it with the non-compact generators (which, as we shall see, are related to the symmetric ones of the affine group), becomes a superposition of new spin states. So, we obtain that the action of SL(4,R) over its representation states reproduces a particle creation-like situation. This phenomenon has been studied[14] in the QCD context, trying to explain the spectrum of the hadronic excitations. Nevertheless, in a gauge theory of gravitation, the particle creation might be considered as an undesired property. We will see how the nonlinear approach removes it.

We now apply the non-linear procedure described in section 2 to the $SL(4,R)_A$ unirreps of section 3. At first sight, there is an incompability between the non-linear affine realizations studied in [2] and [3] and the approach of section 3, where only the SL(4, R) unirreps were found. A first solution is obtained when we consider the non-linear realization of SL(4, R) with the Lorentz subgroup as the classification one. This solution is not entirely satisfactory, since SL(4, R) lacks the translational part which, as shown in [3], is required in the context of a gauge theory of gravitation in order to identify the coframe with the translational connection. We now see how the SL(4, R) representations can be extended to the whole affine group. To this purpose we define the group F_4 as the semidirect product of the four dimensional translations and the uniparametric group of the four dimensional matrices proportional to the unity. The two following properties can be easily proved

$F1$) F_4 is a normal subgroup of the affine group.

$F2$) Every element g in the affine group can be uniquely written as $g = fs$ where $f \in F_4$ and $s \in$ SL(4,R).

Starting from a representation $\sigma(s)$, $s \in$ SL(4,R), we can define the application Σ defined over the affine group through

$$\Sigma(g) := \sigma(s) \quad ; \qquad g = fs \quad , \quad f \in F_4 \quad , \quad s \in SL(4,R). \tag{4.2}$$

$\Sigma(g)$ is well defined over the affine group because of $F2$. Let us now check, using $F1$ and the homomorphism property of σ, that Σ is by itself a representation:

$$\Sigma(g_1\, g_2) = \Sigma(f_1 s_1\, f_2 s_2) \stackrel{F_1}{=} \Sigma(f_1 f_3 s_1 s_2) = \Sigma(f_4 s_1 s_2) = \sigma(s_1)\,\sigma(s_2) = \Sigma(g_1)\,\Sigma(g_2)$$

Hence, starting from (4.2), we can obtain a representation of the affine group once a representation of SL(4,R) is known. Using (3.3) we now define

$$\Delta(g) := \pi(s) \quad ; \quad g = fs \quad , \quad f \in F_4 \quad , \quad s \in SL(4,R), \tag{4.3}$$

which is a representation of the affine group and will play the role of φ in (2.1) and (2.5) when applying the non-linear procedure. As a first step we work out its breaking under the Lorentz group given by (4.1). We wish to label each one of the (infinitely many) spaces appearing in (4.1) by an index i=1,2,3... . To do so we write an infinite matrix where the first row corresponds to the $(\frac{1}{2},0)$ subspace, the second to to the $(\frac{3}{2},1)$ and so on. Correspondingly, the first column is related to the $(0,\frac{1}{2})$ subspace, the second to the $(1,\frac{3}{2})$, etc. The direct sum of the infinite elements of the matrix yields the space of representation (4.1) of Δ. Starting from the first element in the first row, we get through all the matrix elements by following the alignements of slope +1 from lower left to upper right. All the subspaces occurring in (4.1) are thus pinpointed. In this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\{(j_1,j_2)\oplus(j_1',j_2')\} =&\{(\frac{1}{2},0)\oplus(0,\frac{1}{2}),(\frac{1}{2},0)\oplus(1,\frac{3}{2}),(\frac{3}{2},1)\oplus(0,\frac{1}{2}),\\ &(\frac{1}{2},0)\oplus(2,\frac{5}{2}),(\frac{3}{2},1)\oplus(1,\frac{3}{2}),(\frac{5}{2},2)\oplus(0,\frac{1}{2}),...\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The number of the places occupied in (4.4) labels the number of the subspace V_i, i=1,2,3,... , defined as the subspaces into which the representation π (and therefore Δ, because of (4.3)) breaks under the action of the physical Lorentz group. The basis of the representation V of Δ can be chosen in such a way that

$$V = \oplus\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \quad , \tag{4.5}$$

and Δ becomes

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix}\Delta_1\\ \Delta_2\\ \Delta_3\\ ...\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.6}$$

Its transformation under the action of an element g of the affine group, induced by the variation of π, see (4.3), is of the following form

$$\Delta\to\Delta' = \begin{pmatrix}\Delta_1'\\ \Delta_2'\\ ...\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}D_{11}(g) & D_{12}(g) & ...\\ D_{21}(g) & D_{22}(g) & ...\\ ... & ... & ...\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Delta_1\\ \Delta_2\\ ...\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.7}$$

or briefly

$$\Delta_i' = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{ij}(g)\,\Delta_j\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Now, following eq. (2.5), we find the non-linear partner of Δ. The procedure was already pointed out by us choosing the coset structure (2.14). One point must be first clarified. In section 3 we saw that the Lorentz algebra was implemented by the so(4,R)$_A$ subalgebra of sl(4,R)$_A$. So, we have to identify the generators used in [2] and [3] with those of sl(4,R) through

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{J}_i &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}L_{jk} \\ \overline{K}_i &= iS_{0i} \\ \overline{N}_i &= iL_{oi} \quad i,j,k = 1,2,3 \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

plus the remaining pseudosymmetrical ones. The remaining generators needed to obtain the affine algebra, departing from sl(4,R)$_A$, are taken from F_4. Following the previous identification we define

$$\phi := D({L_{(\xi,\delta)}}^{-1})\,\Delta \tag{4.10}$$

where D is given by (4.7) and $L_{(\xi,\delta)}$ by (2.14). Making use of (2.6) and knowing the Lorentz decomposition of Δ (4.5), the transformation of ϕ under the action of an element g of the affine group is easily derived, namely

$$\phi \to \phi' = \begin{pmatrix} \phi'_1 \\ \phi'_2 \\ ... \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11}(h) & 0 & ... \\ 0 & D_{22}(h) & ... \\ ... & ... & ... \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ ... \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.11}$$

with $h = h(g,\, L_{(\xi,\delta)}\,)$ given by eq. (2.15).

Eq.(4.9) can be rewritten in shorthand as

$$\phi'_i = D_{ii}(h(g,\, L_{(\xi,\delta)}))\,\phi_i, \tag{4.12}$$

and shows that the non-linear action of the affine group does not mix the different spin subspaces. This is easy to understand since, through the non-linear realization, all the linear generators of the affine group are non-linearly projected over the generators of the Lorentz group.

If we focus our attention on the ϕ_1 component of ϕ, we realize that it transforms according to the $(\frac{1}{2},0)\oplus(0,\frac{1}{2})$ Lorentz representation with non-linear coefficients given by (2.15). It does not get mixed with other spin states under the action of the affine group, and behaves as usual under the Lorentz group (see (2.3)). It then becomes the non-linear affine version of the Dirac field in the context of the NLAGT.

5. **Conclusions**

Following the lines set in [2] and [3], we have obtained an infinite-dimensional non-linear realization of the affine group which reproduces a fermionic spectrum. Particle creation-like effect is absent, due to the special properties of the non-linear realizations. The ϕ_1 component can be identified with the Dirac field transforming as a $(\frac{1}{2},0)\oplus(0,\frac{1}{2})$ Lorentz representation with non-linear coefficients. This enlightens the inclusion of ordinary fermionic matter in the affine gauge theories of gravitation and can be considered as a strong argument in favour of the non-linear approaches.

Acknoledgement

We are very grateful to Dr Jaime Julve for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke and Y. Ne'eman, *Phys. Rep.* **258** (1995) 1

[2] A. López-Pinto, A. Tiemblo and R. Tresguerres, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** (1995) 1503

[3] J. Julve, A. López-Pinto, A. Tiemblo and R. Tresguerres, to appear in *New Frontiers in Gravitation,* G. Sardanashvily and R. Santilli eds, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor (1995)

[4] L.N. Chang and F. Mansouri, *Phys. Rev.* **D 17** (1978) 3168

[5] F. Grsey and L. Marchildon, *Phys. Rev.* **D 17** (1978) 2038

[6] S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* **177** (1969) 2239

[7] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Rev.* **184** (1969) 1750

[8] E. W. Mielke, J. D. McRea, Y. Ne'eman and F. W. Hehl, *Phys. Rev.* **D 48** (1993) 673 and references therein

[9] V. Bargmann, *Ann. of Math.* **48** (1947) 568

[10] Y. Ne'eman, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincar* **A 28** (1978) 369

[11] Dj. Šijački and Y. Ne'eman, *J.Math.Phys.* **26** (1985) 2457

[12] Y. Ne'eman and Dj. Šijački, *Ann. of Phys.* **120** (1979) 292

[13] A. Cant and Y. Ne'eman, *J.Math.Phys.* **26** (1985) 3180

[14] Y. Ne'eman and Dj. Šijački, *Phys. Rev.* **D 37** (1988) 3267

[15] Harish-Chandra, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **37** (1951) 170, 362, 366, 691

[16] I.M. Gel'fand and M.A. Naimark, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **11** (1947) 411